

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,305		04/04/2001	Tuyoshi Suwamoto	FQ5-536	2540	
466	7590	02/16/2005		EXAMINER		
YOUNG &				RUDY, ANDREW J		
745 SOUTH 2ND FLOO		IREEI		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	ON, VA	22202		3627	-	
				DATE MAILED: 02/16/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
Y	09/825,305	SUWAMOTO ET AL.	`
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1		
	This action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Explication from the Internation from the International Explication from the Internation from the Interna	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re	plication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .·	

Application/Control Number: 09/825,305 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to a network settlement system, classified in class
 709, subclass 223.
 - II. Claims 16-18, drawn to a network settlement method, classified in class705, subclass 26.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, e.g. a cable television communication company need not execute the process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 1-2; claims 3-6; claims 7-12; claims 13-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,305

Art Unit: 3627

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Robert J. Patch on February 15, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. It was requested that the restriction/election be mailed out.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement has been received. Applicant is requested to review it to make sure all the foreign references cited are contained on the PTO-1449.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anchew Joseph Froly